

Thailand: progressive UHC

- HS context: public dominant role of service provision
- Two strand policy approaches
 - Supply side strengthening
 - 3 decade (1970-2000) investment on supply side: full geographical coverage of close-to-client service: District health system development (DH+HC) with tertiary care backup in all provinces
 - Mandatory rural services of all health related graduates since 1972 to date.
 - Financial risk protection extension: application of targeting
 - The poor households: tax financed welfare scheme, 1975
 - Public employees: tax financed welfare scheme, 1980
 - Private employees: payroll tax financed social health insurance, 1991
 - Non-poor Informal sector: CBHI 1984 → public subsidized voluntary health insurance 1994
 - Universal Coverage Scheme: tax financed for all remaining citizens who are not public or private employees, 2001 when GNI per capita was 1,900 US\$

Thailand: pro-poor outcome and why?

- Empirical evidence,
 - Pro-poor utilization and pro-poor benefit incidence [BMC PH 2012, 12 (Suppl 1) : S6]
 - Low incidence of catastrophic health spending and health impoverishment [Bulletin of WHO 2007; 85:600–606].
 - THE, 3.3% GDP (2001) → 4.6% GDP (2013)
 - Public 1.9% GDP (2001) → 3.7% GDP (2013)
- Contributing factors
 - District health systems: the contractor provider network.
 - Easy access by vast majority of rural poor UCS members,
 - Facilitate chronic NCD treatment with good outcome
 - Comprehensive benefit package, free at point of services
 - Deepening coverage to high cost catastrophic conditions: Renal replacement therapy, ART, chemotherapy further boosts financial risk protection
 - Closed end payment support financial viability for comprehensive large package